

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff

v.

**HISENSE CO., LTD.,
HISENSE VISUAL
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.
(F/K/A QINGDAO HISENSE
ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.),
HISENSE ELECTRIC CO.,
LTD.**

Defendants

Case No. 6:21-cv-00562-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)(i)**

Plaintiff, ParkerVision, Inc., hereby dismisses this action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i):

1. ParkerVision, Inc. filed its Complaint in the above-titled action against Hisense Co., Ltd., Hisense Visual Technology Co., Ltd. (f/k/a Qingdao Hisense Electronics Co., Ltd.), and Hisense Electric Co. Ltd. on June 2, 2021.

2. Hisense Co., Ltd., Hisense Visual Technology Co., Ltd. (f/k/a Qingdao Hisense Electronics Co., Ltd.), and Hisense Electric Co. Ltd. have not served an answer or a motion for summary judgment in this action.

3. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) provides that a plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing a notice of dismissal before the

opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment.

4. Accordingly, ParkerVision, Inc. hereby dismisses this action **WITH PREJUDICE** pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

Dated: November 30, 2022

Respectfully submitted,



Raymond W. Mort, III
Texas State Bar No. 00791308
raymort@austinlaw.com

THE MORT LAW FIRM, PLLC
501 Congress Ave, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78701
Tel/Fax: (512) 865-7950

Of Counsel:

Ronald M. Daignault*
Chandran B. Iyer*
Jason S. Charkow*
Stephanie R. Mandir*
rdaingault@daignaultiyer.com
cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com
jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com
smandir@daignaultiyer.com
DAIGNAULT IYER LLP
8618 Westwood Center Drive
Suite 150
Vienna, VA 22182

**Pro hac vice to be filed*
#Not admitted to practice in Virginia

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF